



USAID/PAKISTAN VETTING MISSION ORDER

	Mission Order # 300.6	Supplements N/A	Responsible Office OEM
References: Foreign Assistance Act, of 1961, as amended (FAA) – 22 USC 2151 et seq. Executive Order 13224 Title 18 US Code, 2339A and 2339B		Subject: National Security Screening of Awards	Effective Date: December 01, 2016

I. PURPOSE

It is USAID policy that the Agency may determine that a particular award is subject to vetting in the interest of national security. The purpose of this Mission Order is to describe procedures intended to help mitigate the risk that USAID funds and other resources inadvertently provide support or benefit to individuals or entities that are terrorists, supporters of terrorists or affiliated with terrorists, while also minimizing the impact on USAID programs and its implementing partners.

II. DEFINITIONS

As used in this Mission Order, including the Appendices:

“Award” means any contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or any other instrument that acts as any of the same awarded by USAID. Unless the context otherwise requires, an Award includes subcontracts and sub-awards, as defined below.

“Awardee” means any individual or organization that receives an Award. Unless the context otherwise requires, an Awardee includes Subawardees, as defined below.

“CO” or **“AO”** means the Contracting or the Agreement Officer, as applicable.

“COR” or **“AOR”** means the Contracting Officer’s Representative or the Agreement Officer’s Representative, who is responsible for day-to-day management of the project or activity in question.

“Contract” means a mutually binding legal instrument for the acquisition of supplies or services (including construction) by and for USAID.

“Contractor” means the organization or individual from whom USAID acquires supplies or services.

“Grant” means the legal instrument to transfer funds (or anything of value) from USAID to a recipient to carry out a public purpose. **“Cooperative agreement”** means a grant with substantial involvement.

“Invitational Travel” means any travel financed by USAID, such as financing transportation, tickets, accommodation, per diem, etc. outside of Pakistan.

“Key Individuals” means the individuals defined in Appendix A.4 below. The definition of Key Individuals is not the same as "key personnel" under a contract, grant or cooperative agreement.

“Partner Information Form” or “PIF” means the Office of Management and Budget/Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OMB/OIRA)-approved information collection form which requests personal identifying information on key individuals from offerors/applicants/Awardees/funds recipients and is attached as Appendix B. Note that the Mission may not amend or modify the form without prior approval from the Office of Management and Budget, USAID’s Office of Security (SEC), and USAID’s Office of General Counsel (GC).

“Partner Vetting System Application” or “PVS Application” means the Partner Vetting System Application, a password protected, web-based application that allows the Mission to confidentially transfer information on key individuals collected via the PIF to SEC for vetting. It also allows SEC to request additional information on key individuals if the PIF is incomplete.

“Prohibited Party” means an individual or entity that USAID knows or has reasonable grounds to suspect (i) supports or has supported terrorist activities, (ii) is or has been engaged in terrorist activities, (iii) poses a significant risk of committing terrorist activities, or (iv) supports, has supported, is or has been engaged in or poses a significant risk of committing other activities that are contrary to the national security interests of the United States.

“Public International Organization” means an international organization included in the List of Public International Organizations referenced in ADS 308.

“Secure Portal” means the password-protected, web-based application that allows applicants for Awards to enter information requested by the PIF.

“Subaward” means any grant, subgrant, guarantee, subcontract or any other instrument that acts as any of the same awarded by an Awardee pursuant to an Award.

“Subawardee” means any organization or individual that receives a Subaward.

“Subcontract” means an agreement between a prime contractor and a subcontractor to provide a portion of the prime contractor's supply or service to USAID. It includes but is not limited to purchase orders, and changes and modifications to purchase orders.

“Training” means a learning activity in a setting predominantly intended for teaching or imparting certain knowledge and information to the participants with formally designated instructors or lead persons, learning objectives and outcomes, conducted full-time or intermittently.

“Vetting Official (VO)” means the Vetting Official at the USAID mission who heads the Vetting Support Unit (VSU). The duties of the VO generally include receiving/coordinating vetting personally identifiable

information from Agency implementing partners and prospective implementing partners, responding to questions about information to be included on the Partner Information Form (PIF) or to be entered in the PVS Secure Portal by implementing partners or prospective implementing partners; receiving the eligibility or ineligibility recommendations from the Office of Security (SEC); and conveying or delegating the authority for transmittal of the vetting notices of eligibility or ineligibility to awardees, potential awardees, subawardees or potential subawardees that are subject to vetting, as well as to the Contracting or Agreement Officer.

“Vetting Assistant(s)” means any USAID employee or employee designated as such by, and who is or are responsible to, the Mission Director. The Vetting Assistant(s) has/have responsibility delegated by the VO, to include receiving vetting information, responding to questions about information to be included on the Partner Information Form, and coordinating with SEC and the VO, and conveying the vetting determination to each applicant, potential subrecipients, and contractors subject to vetting, and the contracting or agreement officer. AORs/CORs will not serve as Vetting Assistants for prime or subawards.

“Vetting Support Unit (VSU)” means the Vetting Support Unit of the USAID mission. All staff of the VSU shall be required to sign appropriate non-disclosure agreements relating to source selection information, classified information, and any other information that has not been made available to the public or disclosed publicly.

III. AUTHORITIES AND REFERENCES

Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (FAA) – 22 USC 2151 et seq.

Executive Order 13224 (9/25/2001) blocks property and interests in property of individuals and entities that are designated as committing or posing a significant risk of committing terrorist acts. The Executive Order prohibits all transactions and dealings in blocked property or interests in the United States or by U.S. persons. It also prohibits transactions with, and provision of support for, individuals or entities designated in or subject to the Executive Order.

Title 18 USC Sections 2339A and 2339B, which prohibit the provision of material support or resources for terrorist acts or to designated foreign terrorist organizations.

IV. ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In addition to the vetting policies and procedures established under this Mission Order, other required procedures may also prevent support to Prohibited Parties. First, the CO or AO, as applicable, is required to check relevant public lists, including the Specially Designated Nationals (SDN) list and the excluded parties list found at the System for Award Management (SAM). Second, before an Award is made, the staff of USAID (in the case of a prime award) or the staff of the prime Awardee (in the case of a Subaward) must scrutinize various aspects of that entity’s operations as part of the standard due diligence and pre-award survey. As part of this process, the personal knowledge of USAID staff is taken into account before any award is made or Subaward is approved.

USAID is implementing the Partner Vetting System Pilot Program for acquisition and assistance awards as mandated by Section 7034(i) of P.L. 112-74 and subsequent Appropriations Acts. The procedures set forth in this Mission Order are separate from those of the PVS Pilot Program.

V. PROCEDURES FOR VETTING

Appendix A details the procedures for vetting potential contractors, subcontractors, recipients, and subrecipients. These procedures are subject to change. Check with the VSU for updates prior to implementing.


VI. MANDATORY CLAUSES

The clauses set forth in Appendix D should be included in all contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, and other instruments that are subject to vetting in accordance with this Mission Order.

VII. EFFECTIVE DATE

The effective date of this Mission Order is the date signed by the Mission Director below.

Mission Director: John Groarke

 Date 1 December 2016

VIII. APPENDICES

- A. Vetting Procedures
- B. Partner Information Form
- C. Notices to Offerors/Applicants
- D. Mandatory clauses

Appendix A: Vetting Procedures

As a reminder, all prime awardees are expected to conduct their own due diligence review of proposed U.S. and non-U.S. awardees prior to submission for vetting.

1. **Applicability:** Vetting is conducted by USAID in connection with its review and approval of proposed awards and subawards.
 - a. **Awardees and subawardees:** Except as otherwise provided in this Mission Order, any proposed U.S. or non-U.S. awardee or subawardee of a USAID award of a contract, grant, cooperative agreement, subcontract, or any instrument acting as any of the same in excess of \$25,000 will be subject to vetting. This includes USAID-awarded contracts, contractor-awarded subcontracts, and contracts awarded by recipients of grants and cooperative agreements, as well as USAID-awarded grants and cooperative agreements, Leaders with Associates awards, contractor-awarded grants under contracts (GUCs), and subawards under grants or cooperative agreements.
 - b. **On-budget assistance:** USAID reserves the right to conduct vetting of implementing partners in connection with any on-budget assistance award, including any subawards under such on-budget assistance.
 - c. **Participant trainees:** The mission will vet individuals for whom the mission finances scholarships, fellowships, or other structured training, but only where the mission specifically approves the individual participants and/or where (i) such scholarship, fellowship, or other training is in the United States or in any location outside Pakistan or (ii) such scholarship, fellowship or other training in Pakistan that lasts more than five cumulative work days; (iv) Recipients of cash payments or salary stipends that exceed \$500 per individual over the life of the award will be subject to vetting prior to any such payments being made (this will not apply to cash payments or salary stipends paid out to Subawardees, such as subcontractors, that do not meet the level of vetting threshold described in this subsection).
 - d. **Organizations as direct recipients of cash or in-kind support:** (1) Vetting is required for a hospital that will receive pharmaceuticals, a company whose manufacturing equipment will be repaired or replaced, or an NGO whose recreational facilities will be renovated. Vetting is not required, however, for persons or entities that benefit from assistance indirectly. For example, no vetting would be required for patients of the hospital, customers of the manufacturer, or users of the recreational facilities. (2) Vetting is not required under this Mission Order in the following cases:
 - (i) Individuals who receive jobs under employment generation activities, including incidental job training; and
 - (ii) Ultimate beneficiaries of cash or in-kind assistance, such as food, water, medical care, micro-enterprise loans, shelter, etc.
 - (iii) While vetting need not be conducted in the cases set forth in subparagraph (ii) above, entities providing such assistance are still expected to take appropriate measures to ensure that any cash or in-kind assistance is not provided to or benefits Prohibited Parties, in accordance with the clause in their agreements prohibiting the provision of support to terrorists.
 - e. **Global Development Alliances, Public/Private Partnerships, and Development Credit Authority activities:** For Development Credit Authority activities, vetting will be conducted for the individual of

the financial institution who will sign the agreement with USAID and the officials of the financial institution who are responsible for deciding whether to enter into the agreement with USAID. Consideration also should be given to vetting key individuals of borrowers when the mission knows who the borrower will be in advance of entering into the Guarantee. For alliances and “partnerships” where USAID would not be providing funding to an organization (such as co-financing arrangements), vetting would not generally be required of such an organization.

- f. **Other situations:** Even if vetting would not otherwise be required under this Mission Order, vetting will be conducted whenever USAID has reason to believe that a potential Awardee or Subawardee could be a Prohibited Party.
- g. **Government Officials:** Host Government officials who are themselves key individuals of organizations seeking an Award are subject to vetting.
- h. **Educational Institutions:** Vetting applies to colleges, universities, and other educational institutions to the same extent as other types of organizations.
- i. **Branches:** If a branch (i.e., a subsidiary organization) is a potential contractor/recipient, the parent organization must be vetted. If an organization and its parent have been previously vetted within the last year, whether subsequent vetting will be required for each of its branches that applies for assistance will be decided by the designated Deputy Mission Director (DMD), or, in the case of a Washington-based award, by the appropriate Deputy Assistant Administrator, in writing, on a case-by-case basis. This decision will depend largely on the extent to which the organization’s headquarters oversees and controls the activities of the branches.
- j. **Washington-based and Regional Awards:** Vetting is required in bilateral or regional activities and programs to be implemented by USAID/Washington bureaus and offices only for the component of the regional activity that is being implemented in the country with awards that may be subject to vetting. Wherever applicable, notice must be provided in the solicitation for the implementer.
- k. **Level of Vetting:** In general, vetting under this Mission Order applies only to the first-tier (the prime) and second-tier organizations. If, for example, a U.S. contractor (first tier) awards a subcontract to a local organization (second tier), which in turn awards a subcontract to another local organization (third tier), the first- and second-tier organizations would be vetted, while the third-tier organization would not be. However, if the mission determines that extenuating circumstances so dictate, vetting may be conducted at lower levels. Recipients of training and study tours and invitational travel will be vetted regardless of tier.

2. Solicitation and New Awards:

In general, the vetting procedures described in this Mission Order will only apply to new awards and will not apply retroactively, provided, however, that vetting may apply to task order awards to be issued under Indefinite Quantity Contracts (IQCs) awarded prior to the effective date of this Mission Order; and provided further, that vetting of awards issued prior to the effective date of this Mission Order may be conducted in accordance with Section 1(g), “Other situations.”

Solicitations: For each prime activity or program that will be subject to vetting, the CO or AO, as the case may be, will ensure that the solicitation language for vetting is included and/or adapted for the solicitation for the particular activity or program.

New Awards: The awarding mission will ensure that new awards are not issued unless/until all relevant entities and individuals subject to vetting, including the Awardee and any Subawardee named (or expected to be named, such as at the concept note stage) in the award, as well as associated Key Individuals, have been deemed eligible.

Modifications or Amendments to Existing Awards: Vetting under these procedures will be required when contracts or grants are modified or amended to provide additional assistance. Modifications or amendments only extending the time of performance or making minor changes in scopes of work do not require re-vetting unless the mission has reason to believe that changed circumstances justify re-vetting or it has been more than one year since the Awardee and Key Individuals were vetted. The awarding mission will ensure that amendments triggering vetting are not issued unless/until all relevant entities and individuals subject to vetting, including the Awardee and any Subawardee named (or expected to be named) in the award, as well as associated Key Individuals, have been deemed eligible.

Non-competitive Awards/Unsolicited Proposals: Proposed Awardees and any Subawardees named (or expected to be named, such as at the concept note stage) in a non-competitive award or unsolicited proposal will be vetted in accordance with these Procedures as early in the proposal review process as possible and before any approval or required justifications/waivers are submitted for approval.

3. General Categorical Exemptions: The following types of awards are categorically exempted (subject to 1.f):

- a. Interagency agreements;
- b. Personal service contracts;
- c. Contributions and grants to Public International Organizations;
- d. Government-to-Government agreements under ADS 220, 305, or 350;
- e. GSA Schedule Orders;
- f. Urgently needed humanitarian assistance. Subject to Section 1(g), in fast-onset natural disasters, vetting may commence once the situation has stabilized and if deemed necessary and appropriate by the Assistant Administrator of the Bureau for Democracy, Conflict and Humanitarian Assistance (AA/DCHA) in consultation with the Directors of the Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA), Food for Peace (FFP), and AA/Office of Afghanistan and Pakistan Affairs (OAPA). In longer-term complex humanitarian emergencies, urgency may be more protracted. In such circumstances, urgency is continually assessed by DCHA, and vetting of HA in complex humanitarian emergencies may commence if deemed necessary and appropriate by AA/DCHA in consultation with the Directors of OFDA and FFP and the AA/OAPA.
- g. Subcontracts and contracts awarded by Awardees/Subawardees to vendors for the purchase of commercial items (as such term is defined in FAR 2.101) entered into during the ordinary course of business and for the use of such contractor, recipient, or subcontractor, provided, however, that vetting will be required prior to the leasing by Awardees and Subawardees of housing and/or office space.
- h. Contracts or awards that already require RSO or SEC security clearance.
- i. Donor-to-Donor agreements as described in ADS 351.

- j. Government officials, employees, ministries, and other related government staff and constituent components, including, but not limited to, local, provincial, tribal and community leaders, solely when acting in their official government capacity.

4. Vetting of “Key Individuals”: “Key individuals” means:

- a. Principal officers of the organization's governing body (e.g., chairman, vice chairman, treasurer, or secretary of the board of directors or board of trustees);
- b. The principal officer and deputy principal officer of the organization (e.g., executive director, deputy director, president, vice president);
- c. The program manager or chief of party for the USAID-financed program; and
- d. Any other person with significant responsibilities for administration of USAID-financed activities or resources, such as key personnel as described in either Automated Directives System (ADS) Chapter 302 for contracts or ADS Chapter 303 for assistance awards. Key Personnel, whether or not they are employees of the prime contractor or recipient, must be vetted.

Note that the definition of “Key Individuals” differs from the definition of “Key Personnel” under a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement.

5. Obtaining Data Needed for Review:

- a. If an award is subject to vetting, the CO/AO will inform each offeror or applicant to complete the PIF as a paper document or via the secure portal for all of its Key Individuals and return the PIF to the VSU.
- b. Awardees and Subawardees will be responsible for collecting, verifying, and submitting the PIF to the VSU for each proposed subaward or other action requiring vetting and for themselves as the prime.

6. Vetting Flow:

Step 1 – Data Collection: Offerors or applicants will submit the PIF (see Appendix B) when directed to do so by the CO/AO. Offerors or applicants will submit their PIFs electronically via the secure portal. If internet access is not available to offerors or applicants then such offerors or applicants will submit hard copies of their PIFs directly to the VSU.

Step 2 – Data Review: Upon receipt, the VSU will review the PIF for completeness and accuracy and will seek any additional information necessary from the offeror or applicant.

Step 3 – Data Submission: Before initiating a vetting request, the VSU should review the submission to determine if a vetting approval is already in effect for the Key Individual(s) in question. If an individual received an eligible vetting determination within the past year, the VSU is not required to submit that individual for vetting during that one-year period. If the individual received an ineligible determination, with no intervening eligible determination, that individual must be included for re-vetting.

- a. **Secure Portal:** For those offerors or applicants that have the capability, the VSU will establish accounts in the secure portal for their access. Offerors or applicants will enter vetting information electronically through the secure portal. The VSU will review and approve PIF submissions via the secure portal, which will permit the data to be systematically pulled from the portal into the PVS application. The VSU will then submit the information to USAID/SEC for vetting.
- b. **Non-Secure Portal Submissions:** Awardees and Subawardees will submit the PIFs of proposed Subawardees to the VSU. Upon the VSU's receipt of the PIF submission, the VSU will enter the data into the PVS application and submit a vetting request.

Step 4 - Vetting: Upon receiving the request, USAID/SEC will search relevant databases, including non-public sources, for derogatory information. If deemed necessary, USAID/SEC will request the VSU to obtain additional information. Depending on the vetting results, that is, whether USAID/SEC identifies a potential Prohibited Party, USAID/SEC will make a recommendation to the Vetting Official that the potential Awardee or Subawardee is either eligible or ineligible. If the recommendation is eligible, then the VO notifies the vetted entities following the process described in Step 6 and the CO/AO, who proceeds with the award or the procurement, as the case may be. The notification to the entities of the vetting decision must not include any information regarding award decisions (the CO or AO is responsible for notifying offerors/applicants of award decisions).

Step 5 - Final Determination: If USAID/SEC discovers derogatory information and makes a recommendation of ineligibility with respect to one or more potential Prohibited Parties (i.e., offerors/applicants that USAID/SEC knows or has reasonable grounds to suspect (i) supports or has supported terrorist activities, (ii) is or has been engaged in terrorist activities, (iii) poses a significant risk of committing terrorist activities, or (iv) supports, has supported, is or has been engaged in or poses a significant risk of committing other activities which are contrary to the national security interests of the United States), the designated DMD (in the case of a Mission or Regional award) will determine whether or not to proceed with the award. USAID/SEC will base any recommendation of ineligibility on the derogatory information used during the analysis. The designated DMD should consult with appropriate parties in making a final determination. Appropriate parties that the designated DMD is encouraged to consult with include, without limitation, the relevant technical office(s), GC, and/or the Resident Legal Officer, USAID/SEC, the Mission Director, and the Embassy. Legal advice on a final determination is important to ensure compliance with applicable law. Should the designated DMD not concur with USAID/SEC's recommendation of ineligible, the DMD will then refer the matter to the Deputy Assistant Administrator of the respective regional bureau for review and final decision.

In the case of a humanitarian assistance award that has been vetted and which is centrally funded and managed, if USAID/SEC discovers derogatory information and makes a recommendation of ineligibility as described above the cognizant Deputy Assistant Administrator for DCHA (DAA/DCHA), in consultation with the Directors of OFDA or FFP, USAID/Pakistan Mission's designated DMD and DAA/OAPA, will make the final determination whether to proceed with the award. The cognizant DAA/DCHA is also encouraged to consult, without limitation, the relevant technical office(s), GC and USAID/SEC. Legal advice on a determination is important to ensure compliance with applicable law. If the DAA/DCHA determines to move forward with the award despite SEC's recommendation of ineligibility, the matter will be referred to AA/DCHA for final determination in consultation with AA/OAPA.

Step 6 - Determination Notification: Once a final determination is made, the VO/VA will notify the relevant offerors or applicants. Notification of the vetting decision must not include any information regarding award decisions. (The CO/AO is responsible for notifying offerors/applicants of the prime award decisions.) Notices must conform to the language shown in Appendix C. The mission (or office in the case of a USAID/Washington award) may not deviate from the language in Appendix C without prior approval from USAID/SEC and GC. Information determined by USAID/SEC to be releasable, as described in the Reconsideration Procedures below, should be included by the VO in the notice to any offeror/applicant determined to be ineligible. The mission or office will retain a copy of the final determination in its files. The Office of Acquisition and Assistance will retain a copy of the final determination in its procurement files.

Ineligible Determination: If an offeror or applicant is found ineligible as a result of vetting, USAID/SEC will provide to the VO any information to support the vetting recommendation that USAID/SEC determines to be releasable. In its determination, SEC will take into consideration the classification or sensitivity of the information, the need to protect sources and methods, the type or source of information, and harm that release could cause to any ongoing law enforcement and intelligence community investigations or operations. Releasable information shall be included in the notice to the denied offeror or applicant.

Following an ineligible determination, an implementing partner is not precluded from applying for future awards as it is policy for USAID to re-vet entities for every proposed award based on information available at the time of the determination even if the implementing partner had failed previously.

Reconsideration Procedures

Reconsideration: (1) Within seven calendar days after the date of the VSU's notification, the prospective Awardee or Subawardee that has not passed vetting may request in writing to the Vetting Official that the Agency reconsider the vetting determination. The request should include any written explanation, legal documentation, and any other relevant written material for reconsideration. If a prime awardee indicates to USAID that it no longer wishes to propose a prospective Subawardee under its award, the appeal process will terminate with respect to such prospective Subawardee. The proposed replacement Subawardee would then be vetted as well.

(2) Within seven calendar days after the VSU receives a written request for reconsideration, the appropriate regional or pillar bureau DAA will determine if the applicant's additional information merits a revised decision. If the appropriate regional or pillar bureau DAA was substantially involved in the ineligibility determination that is proposed for reconsideration, the appropriate AA will serve, or appoint another senior official (more senior than the one who made the initial decision), as the deciding official. In the case of a humanitarian assistance award that has been vetted and which is centrally funded and managed, the deciding official for reconsideration is the AA/DCHA, in consultation with AA/OAPA. If the AA/DCHA was substantially involved in the ineligibility determination that is proposed for reconsideration, AA/DCHA will designate another senior official at the AA level or above to serve as the deciding official. The regional or pillar bureau DAAs or AAs (or other deciding official's) determination of whether reconsideration is warranted (and decision on eligibility) is final. The deciding official should consult with appropriate parties in making the reconsideration determination. Appropriate parties that the deciding official is encouraged to consult with include, without limitation, the GC.

Step 7 - Duration of Approval: Once an offeror/applicant has been deemed eligible and has received an award, the approval generally will remain in effect for one year from the date the notice of eligibility is

transmitted to the partner or prospective partner. However, new vetting will be required if there is any change in the Awardee's Key Individuals. The Awardee is required to keep USAID apprised of changes in the Key Individuals. For new awards or subawards or extensions of awards or subawards, the Awardee or Subawardee shall be vetted if more than 12 months have elapsed since vetting was conducted.

1. The mission may rescind vetting approval if it obtains information indicating that an entity or any of its Key Individuals is a Prohibited Party. In such event, the CO or AO, as appropriate, will provide written instructions to the Awardee on termination, in whole or in part, of any Award or Sub-award affected. Failure to take immediate action upon receipt of such written instructions may result in disallowance of costs incurred after the date of such written instructions. In addition, USAID reserves the right to vet or re-vet any individual or entity subject to vetting for an award at any time regardless of the previous vetting date.

7. **Records:** USAID/SEC will maintain a database of all Key Individuals and organizations that have been submitted for vetting and the status of each case. Due to the sensitivity of information in the database, it will be made available only to those with an official need-to-know. The PVS Application will serve as the database of all final eligibility and ineligibility determination of awards. The VSU will store all paper records containing Sensitive But Unclassified information, such as PII, in a secure container, and will update the USAID/SEC application with vetting decisions.

Appendix B: USAID Partner Information Form (PIF)

PARTNER INFORMATION FORM

(See Burden and Privacy Act Statements on Page 3)

Part I: Information About Proposed Activities		
1. Name of the prime contractor, grantee or recipient proposing the award or other assistance		
2. Type of proposed award or other assistance (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> Contract or Subcontract <input type="checkbox"/> Grant or Subgrant <input type="checkbox"/> Training <input type="checkbox"/> Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Other		
3. US\$ amount and estimated start/end date of proposed award or assistance: Dollar amount: \$ Start: End:		
4. Purpose of proposed award or assistance:		
5. Organization proposed to receive award or other assistance:		
a. Name:		
b. Address:		
c. Telephone:	d. Fax:	e. Email:
6. Information on key individuals associated with the organization named in 5 above, or, if no organization is listed, information on each individual to receive cash or in-kind assistance (including technical assistance). Use continuation sheets as necessary. ** = mandatory information.		
A. Name (As in passport or other government-issued photo ID):**		Government-issued photo ID number, type of ID and country of issuance:**
Place of birth:**	Date of birth:** (mm/dd/yyyy)	Rank or title in organization listed in #5 (if "key individual"):**
Other names used (may include nicknames, pseudonyms not listed under "Name"):**		Gender:
Current employer and job title:		Occupation:
Address of residence:		Citizenship(s):
Email:	Is the individual a U.S. citizen or legal permanent resident? ** Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Part II: Contractor/Grantee/Recipient Certification:		
Contractor/Grantee/Recipient certifies in submitting this form that it has taken reasonable steps (in accordance with sound business practices) to verify the information contained in this form. Contractor/Grantee/Recipient understands that the U.S. Government may rely on the accuracy of such information in processing this vetting request.		
Name:		Signature:
Title/Organization:		Date:
Part III: Submission details (to be completed by USG vetting official)		
Vetting request number		
Staff member who initiated request		
Project name		
Date submitted for screening		

PIF Continuation Sheet for Part I, Section 6: List of Individuals (Use additional continuation sheets as needed):

B. Name (As in passport or other government-issued photo ID):**		Government-issued photo ID number, type of ID and country of issuance:**
Place of birth:**	Date of birth:** (mm/dd/yyyy)	Rank or title in organization listed in #5 (if "key individual"):**
Other names used (may include nicknames, pseudonyms not listed under "Name"):**		Gender:
Current employer and job title:		Occupation:
Address of residence:		Citizenship(s):
Email:	Is the individual a U.S. citizen or legal permanent resident?** Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
C. Name (As in passport or other government-issued photo ID):**		Government-issued photo ID number, type of ID and country of issuance:**
Place of birth:**	Date of birth:** (mm/dd/yyyy)	Rank or title in organization listed in #5 (if "key individual"):**
Other names used (may include nicknames, pseudonyms not listed under "Name"):**		Gender:
Current employer and job title:		Occupation:
Address of residence:		Citizenship(s):
Email:	Is the individual a U.S. citizen or legal permanent resident?** Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
D. Name (As in passport or other government-issued photo ID):**		Government-issued photo ID number, type of ID and country of issuance:**
Place of birth:**	Date of birth:** (mm/dd/yyyy)	Rank or title in organization listed in #5 (if "key individual"):**
Other names used (may include nicknames, pseudonyms not listed under "Name"):**		Gender:
Current employer and job title:		Occupation:
Address of residence:		Citizenship(s):
Email:	Is the individual a U.S. citizen or legal permanent resident?** Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
E. Name (As in passport or other government-issued photo ID):**		Government-issued photo ID number, type of ID and country of issuance:**
Place of birth:**	Date of birth:** (mm/dd/yyyy)	Rank or title in organization listed in #5 (if "key individual"):**
Other names used (may include nicknames, pseudonyms not listed under "Name"):**		Gender:
Current employer and job title:		Occupation:
Address of residence:		Citizenship(s):
Email:	Is the individual a U.S. citizen or legal permanent resident?** Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	

PARTNER INFORMATION FORM INSTRUCTIONS

Part I

Question 1 – Self-explanatory

Question 2- Indicate the proposed type of mechanism to be utilized by placing a check mark on the line in front of the appropriate term

Question 3 – Enter the amount of award or assistance in U.S. dollars and indicate the start and end date of the program using a mm/dd/yyyy format

Question 4 – Indicate the purpose of the award or assistance. Use additional sheets and attach to page one of the vetting form if necessary

Question 5 a-e – Self-explanatory

Question 6 - “Key individual” means (i) principal officers of the organization’s governing body (e.g., chairman, vice chairman, treasurer and secretary of the board of directors or board of trustees); (ii) the principal officer and deputy principal officer of the organization (e.g., executive director, deputy director, president, vice president); (iii) the program manager or chief of party for the USG-financed program; and (iv) any other person with significant responsibilities for administration of the USG-financed activities or resources. Note that this definition differs from the definition of “key personnel” under contracts and cooperative agreements. Complete for each of these four categories or indicate “N/A” if a category does not apply.

Part II

Individual filling out form must read the Certification and print their name where indicated, sign where indicated, print their title and the name of their organization where indicated, and print the date where indicated.

Part III

This section is not for individual’s information and will be completed by the USG vetting official.

PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Agency for International Development, Office of Security (SEC), Washington, D.C. 20523-2600.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

The following statement is required by the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 522). Information in this form is used to conduct screening of individuals and entities as required by applicable U.S. laws and implementing procedures to ensure that USAID funds do not inadvertently provide support to entities or individuals associated with terrorism. Public Law 109-446 §3(b)(2), 18 U.S.C. 2339A, 2339B, 2339C, Executive Orders 13224 and 12947, applicable Homeland Security Presidential Directives and other legislative or executive branch prohibitions on providing support or resources to, or engaging in transactions with, individuals or entities associated with terrorism constitute the authority for collecting this information. In addition, the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 as amended (22 U.S.C. 2151 et seq.) provides USAID with broad discretion in granting foreign assistance and permits USAID to consider a range of foreign policy and national security interests in determining how to provide foreign assistance.

Disclosure of the information provided on this form will be done in accordance with USAID’s System of Records Notice concerning the Partner Vetting System (72FR136) which establishes the routine uses and Privacy Act exceptions which apply to this system.

Appendix C: Vetting Notices

Unless otherwise approved in writing by M Bureau, SEC, and GC, the following language shall be used to notify of eligibility or ineligibility for an award or sub-award.

NOTICES OF ELIGIBILITY

To Potential Contractor or Recipient

I am writing with regard to [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED CONTRACTOR/RECIPIENT]'s application for [INSERT NAME OF BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY AND ASSOCIATED SOLICITATION OR NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY REFERENCE NUMBER, IF ANY]. USAID has determined that [INSERT NAME OF CONTRACTOR/RECIPIENT] is eligible at this time to receive a USAID award in connection with the opportunity specified above. Eligibility is not a determination as to whether an award will be made. USAID reserves the right to rescind this eligibility determination in the event that USAID becomes aware of information indicating that the award is contrary to the national security interests of the United States.

This eligibility determination does not relieve your organization of its legal obligation to comply with U.S. Executive Orders and U.S. law prohibiting transactions with, and the provisions of resources and support to individuals and organizations associated with terrorism and to comply with the terms and conditions of its contract/agreement.

Should your organization be selected for this award, the terms and conditions of your contract/agreement with USAID will require prompt notification to the Vetting Official in the event of any change in the identity of any "key individuals." The Vetting Official must also be notified if there is a material change in the program or operations under this award, or any development that might cause USAID to reconsider [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED CONTRACTOR/RECIPIENT]'s eligibility for the above business opportunity.

To Potential Contractor or Recipient Concerning Eligibility of Subcontractor or Subrecipient

I am writing with regard to [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED SUBCONTRACTOR/SUBRECIPIENT], which your organization has proposed to receive a USAID award under [INSERT NAME OF BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY AND ASSOCIATED SOLICITATION OR NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY REFERENCE NUMBER, IF ANY]. USAID has determined that [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED SUBCONTRACTOR/SUBRECIPIENT] is eligible at this time to receive such award. However, USAID reserves the right to rescind this approval in the event that USAID becomes aware of information indicating that the award is contrary to the national security interests of the United States. Furthermore, a new request for eligibility will be required annually if your organization wishes to make a new award to [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED SUBCONTRACTOR/SUBRECIPIENT].

This eligibility determination does not relieve your organization of its legal obligation to comply with U.S. Executive Orders and U.S. law prohibiting transactions with, and the provisions of resources and support to, individuals and organizations associated with terrorism and to comply with the terms and conditions of its contract/agreement.

Should [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED SUBCONTRACTOR/SUBRECIPIENT] receive this award, the Vetting Official must be notified in the event of any change in the identity of any “key individuals.” The Vetting Official must also be notified if there is a material change in the program or operations under this award, or any development that might cause USAID to reconsider [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED SUBCONTRACTOR/SUBRECIPIENT]’s eligibility for the above business opportunity.

NOTICES OF INELIGIBILITY

To Potential Contractor or Recipient

I am writing with regard to [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED CONTRACTOR/RECIPIENT]’s application for funding. After careful consideration, USAID has determined that [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED CONTRACTOR/RECIPIENT] is not eligible to receive the award funded by USAID under [INSERT NAME OF BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY AND ASSOCIATED SOLICITATION OR NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY REFERENCE NUMBER, IF ANY].

Within seven calendar days after receiving this notice, your organization may request in writing that USAID reconsider this determination. Your organization may submit with this request any written explanation, legal documentation, and/or other relevant material for USAID’s consideration.

USAID will notify your organization whether reconsideration is warranted within seven calendar days after USAID receives from your organization the written explanation, legal documentation, and/or other relevant material referred to above.

To Contractor or Recipient Concerning Ineligibility of Proposed Subcontractor or Subrecipient

I am writing with regard to [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED SUBCONTRACTOR/SUBRECIPIENT], which your organization has proposed to receive a USAID award under [INSERT NAME OF BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY AND ASSOCIATED SOLICITATION OR NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY REFERENCE NUMBER, IF ANY]. After careful consideration, USAID has determined that [INSERT NAME OF PROPOSED SUBCONTRACTOR/SUBRECIPIENT] is not eligible to receive this award funded by USAID.

Within seven calendar days after receiving this notice, your organization may request in writing that USAID reconsider this determination. Your organization may submit with this request any

written explanation, legal documentation, and/or other relevant material for USAID's consideration.

USAID will notify your organization whether reconsideration is warranted within seven calendar days after USAID receives from your organization the written explanation, legal documentation, and/or other relevant material referred to above.

To Contractor or Recipient Regarding an Individual's Eligibility

I am writing with regard to [INSERT NAME OF INDIVIDUAL], the individual your organization has proposed to receive USAID assistance. USAID has determined that [INSERT NAME OF INDIVIDUAL] is eligible to receive assistance funded by USAID.

To Contractor or Recipient Regarding an Individual's Ineligibility

I am writing with regard to [INSERT NAME OF INDIVIDUAL], the individual your organization has proposed to receive USAID assistance. After careful consideration, USAID has determined that [INSERT NAME OF INDIVIDUAL] is not eligible to receive assistance funded by USAID. We encourage you to identify another candidate to receive the proposed assistance.

Appendix D: Required Clauses for Vetting

For Acquisition (see Partner Vetting for Acquisition – A Guide for Contracting Officers):

1. 48 CFR 752.204-70/71 Partner Vetting Pre-Award Requirements (Feb. 2012)
2. 48 CFR 752.204-70/71 Partner Vetting (Feb. 2012) and its alternative I, as applicable.
The CO must also include the contact information for the vetting official or mailbox for submissions of vetting information in 48 CFR 752.204-70/71

For Assistance: 2 CFR 701

CLEARANCE PAGE FOR PAKISTAN VETTING MISSION ORDER

Clearances:

Bureau/IO	Clearance Status	Date
OAPA: WHammink		
M: ACrumby	clear	10/31/2016
GC: DYoung	clear	10/27/2016
SEC: JVoorhees	clear	10/28/2016
OAPA: DGrant	clear	10/28/2016
LPA: TCooper	Info	
DCHA: GGottlieb	Info	

Drafter: OAPA: Michael Murphy, 202-712-1793; 10/21/16